

June 5, 2019

Applied Biocode, Inc.
Robert Tullio
Regulatory Consultant
10020 Pioneer Blvd.
Suite 102
Santa Fe Springs, California 90067-0

Re: K190585

Trade/Device Name: Biocode Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel (GPP)

Regulation Number: 21 CFR 866.3990

Regulation Name: Gastrointestinal microorganism multiplex nucleic acid-based assay

Regulatory Class: Class II Product Code: PCH, OOI Dated: March 4, 2019 Received: March 6, 2019

## Dear Robert Tullio:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. Although this letter refers to your product as a device, please be aware that some cleared products may instead be combination products. The 510(k) Premarket Notification Database located at <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm</a> identifies combination product submissions. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration. Please note: CDRH does not evaluate information related to contract liability warranties. We remind you, however, that device labeling must be truthful and not misleading.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the <u>Federal Register</u>.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's

K190585 - Robert Tullio Page 2

requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801 and Part 809); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR 803) for devices or postmarketing safety reporting (21 CFR 4, Subpart B) for combination products (see <a href="https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products">https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products</a>); good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820) for devices or current good manufacturing practices (21 CFR 4, Subpart A) for combination products; and, if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act); 21 CFR 1000-1050.

Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to <a href="https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/medical-device-safety/medical-device-reporting-mdr-how-report-medical-device-problems">https://www.fda.gov/medical-device-problems</a>.

For comprehensive regulatory information about medical devices and radiation-emitting products, including information about labeling regulations, please see Device Advice (<a href="https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance">https://www.fda.gov/training-and-continuing-education/cdrh-learn</a>). Additionally, you may contact the Division of Industry and Consumer Education (DICE) to ask a question about a specific regulatory topic. See the DICE website (<a href="https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice">https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice</a>) for more information or contact DICE by email (<a href="DICE@fda.hhs.gov">DICE@fda.hhs.gov</a>) or phone (1-800-638-2041 or 301-796-7100).

Sincerely,

Uwe Scherf, M.Sc., Ph.D.
Director
Division of Microbiology Devices
OHT7: Office of In Vitro Diagnostics
and Radiological Health
Office of Product Evaluation and Quality
Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Enclosure

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Food and Drug Administration

### **Indications for Use**

Form Approved: OMB No. 0910-0120

Expiration Date: 06/30/2020 See PRA Statement below.

510(k) Number *(if known)* K190585

Device Name

BioCode Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel (GPP)

### Indications for Use (Describe)

The BioCode Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel (GPP) is a qualitative multiplexed nucleic acid-based in vitro diagnostic test intended for use with the BioCode MDx 3000 Instrument. The BioCode GPP is capable of the simultaneous detection and identification of nucleic acids from multiple bacteria, viruses, and parasites extracted directly from unpreserved stool samples or stool preserved in Cary-Blair transport medium obtained from individuals with signs and/or symptoms of gastrointestinal infection. The following bacteria, parasites, and viruses are identified using the BioCode Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel:

- Campylobacter (C. jejuni/C. coli)
- Clostridium difficile (C. difficile) toxin A/B (Fresh samples only)
- Salmonella spp
- Vibrio (V. parahaemolyticus/V. vulnificus/ V. cholerae), including specific identification of Vibrio parahaemolyticus
- Yersinia enterocolitica
- Enteroaggregative Escherichia coli (EAEC)
- Enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETEC) lt/st
- E. coli O157 serogroup
- Shiga-like toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC) stx1/stx2
- Shigella/ Enteroinvasive Escherichia coli (EIEC)
- Cryptosporidium spp (C. parvum/C. hominis)
- Entamoeba histolytica
- Giardia lamblia (also known as G. intestinalis and G. duodenalis)
- Adenovirus F 40/41
- Norovirus GI/GII
- Rotavirus A

The BioCode GPP is indicated as an aid in the diagnosis of specific agents of gastrointestinal illness and results are meant to be used in conjunction with other clinical, laboratory, and epidemiological data.

Positive results do not rule out co-infection with organisms not included in the BioCode GPP. The agent detected may not be the definite cause of the disease. Negative results in the setting of clinical illness compatible with gastroenteritis may be due to infection by pathogens that are not detected by this test or non-infectious causes such as ulcerative colitis, irritable bowel syndrome, or Crohn's disease.

Concomitant culture is necessary for organism recovery and further typing of bacterial agents.

This device is not intended to monitor or guide treatment for C. difficile infection.

Due to the small number of positive specimens collected for certain organisms during the prospective clinical study, performance characteristics for Adenovirus 40/41, Campylobacter, E. coli O157, Shigella/EIEC, Yersinia enterocolitica, and Giardia lamblia were established additionally with retrospective clinical specimens. Performance characteristics for Entamoeba histolytica, Giardia lamblia, Yersinia enterocolitica and Vibrio (V. parahaemolyticus, V. vulnificus, and V. cholerae) were established primarily using contrived clinical specimens.

Type of Use (Select one or both, as applicable)	
Prescription Use (Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart D)	Over-The-Counter Use (21 CFR 801 Subpart C)

### CONTINUE ON A SEPARATE PAGE IF NEEDED.

This section applies only to requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

### \*DO NOT SEND YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE PRA STAFF EMAIL ADDRESS BELOW.\*

The burden time for this collection of information is estimated to average 79 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data sources, gather and maintain the data needed and complete and review the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Office of Chief Information Officer Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) Staff PRAStaff@fda.hhs.gov

"An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number."

### 510(k) SUMMARY

**Introduction:** According to the requirements of 21 CFR 807.92, the following provides sufficient information to understand the basis for a determination of substantial equivalence.

#### Submitted by:

Applied BioCode, Inc. 10020 Pioneer Blvd. Suite 102 Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670

#### Contact:

Robert Di Tullio Regulatory Consultant rditullio@apbiocode.com Telephone: 310 801 1235

Fax: 323 372 3816

#### **Date Submitted:**

March 5, 2019

#### **Trade Name:**

BioCode Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel (GPP)

### **Classification Name and Regulation Number:**

Gastrointestinal microorganism multiplex nucleic acid-based assay (21 CFR 866.3990)

#### **Predicate Device:**

K180041 – BioCode Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel (GPP)

#### **Intended Use:**

The BioCode Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel (GPP) is a qualitative multiplexed nucleic acid-based *in vitro* diagnostic test intended for use with the BioCode MDx 3000 Instrument. The BioCode GPP is capable of the simultaneous detection and identification of nucleic acids from multiple bacteria, viruses, and parasites extracted directly from unpreserved stool samples or stool preserved in Cary-Blair transport medium obtained from individuals with signs and/or symptoms of gastrointestinal infection. The following bacteria, parasites, and viruses are identified using the BioCode Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel:

- Campylobacter (C. jejuni/C. coli)
- Clostridium difficile (C. difficile) toxin A/B (Fresh samples only)
- Salmonella spp
- Vibrio (V. parahaemolyticus/V. vulnificus/ V. cholerae), including specific identification of Vibrio parahaemolyticus
- Yersinia enterocolitica
- Enteroaggregative Escherichia coli (EAEC)

- Enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETEC) lt/st
- E. coli O157 serogroup
- Shiga-like toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC) stx1/stx2
- Shigella/ Enteroinvasive Escherichia coli (EIEC)
- Cryptosporidium spp (C. parvum/C. hominis)
- Entamoeba histolytica
- Giardia lamblia (also known as G. intestinalis and G. duodenalis)
- Adenovirus F 40/41
- Norovirus GI/GII
- Rotavirus A

The BioCode GPP is indicated as an aid in the diagnosis of specific agents of gastrointestinal illness and results are meant to be used in conjunction with other clinical, laboratory, and epidemiological data.

Positive results do not rule out co-infection with organisms not included in the BioCode GPP. The agent detected may not be the definite cause of the disease. Negative results in the setting of clinical illness compatible with gastroenteritis may be due to infection by pathogens that are not detected by this test or non-infectious causes such as ulcerative colitis, irritable bowel syndrome, or Crohn's disease. Concomitant culture is necessary for organism recovery and further typing of bacterial agents. This device is not intended to monitor or guide treatment for *C. difficile* infection.

Due to the small number of positive specimens collected for certain organisms during the prospective clinical study, performance characteristics for Adenovirus 40/41, *Campylobacter*, *E. coli* O157, *Shigella*/EIEC, *Yersinia enterocolitica*, and *Giardia lamblia* were established additionally with retrospective clinical specimens. Performance characteristics for *Entamoeba histolytica*, *Giardia lamblia*, *Yersinia enterocolitica* and *Vibrio* (*V. parahaemolyticus*, *V. vulnificus*, and *V. cholerae*) were established primarily using contrived clinical specimens.

### **Device Description:**

The BioCode Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel (GPP) is a multiplexed nucleic acid-based test designed to be used with the BioCode MDx 3000 system. The BioCode MDx 3000 is an automated system that integrates PCR amplification, target capture, signal generation and optical detection for multiple gastrointestinal pathogens from a single stool specimen, either unpreserved or in Cary Blair. Stool specimens are processed and nucleic acids extracted with the easyMAG and MagNa Pure. Once the PCR plate is set up and sealed, all other operations are automated on MDx 3000. The BioCode MDx 3000 Gastrointestinal Infection Panel simultaneously tests for 17 pathogens (see table below) from unpreserved stool specimens or stool collected in Cary-Blair transport medium. Results from the BioCode Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel (GPP) test are available within less than 4 hours.

# Bacteria, Viruses, Diarrheagenic *E. coli/Shigella*, and Parasites Detected by the BioCode MDx Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel (GPP)

Bacteria	Parasites
■ Campylobacter spp. (C. jejuni, C. coli)	<ul><li>Cryptosporidium spp.</li></ul>
<ul> <li>Clostridium difficile toxin A/B (Fresh samples only)</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Entamoeba histolytica</li></ul>
■ Enteroaggregative <i>E. coli</i> (EAEC)	■ Giardia lamblia
■ Enterotoxigenic <i>E. coli</i> (ETEC): LT/ST	
■ Shiga-toxin producing <i>E. coli</i> (STEC): stx1/stx2	Viruses
■ <i>E.coli</i> O157	<ul><li>Adenovirus 40/41</li></ul>
<ul><li>Shigella spp. /Enteroinvasive E.coli (EIEC)</li></ul>	<ul><li>Norovirus GI/GII</li></ul>
■ Salmonella spp.	<ul><li>Rotavirus A</li></ul>
■ Vibrio parahaemolyticus	
■ Vibrio spp (not parahaemolyticus)	RNA Internal Control
Yersinia enterocolitica	

### **Device Comparison:**

### Comparison of the Applied BioCode GPP with the Predicate Device

Characteristic	Proposed Device	Predicate					
Name	BioCode Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel (GPP)	BioCode Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel (GPP)					
Common Name	Gastrointestinal Microorganism Multiplex Nucleic acid-based assay	Gastrointestinal Microorganism Multiplex Nucleic acid-based assay					
510(k) No.	N/A	K180041					
Regulation	21CFR 866.3990	21CFR 866.3990					
Product Code	PCH, OOI	PCH, OOI					
Device Class	II	II					
	Similarities						

#### Intended Use

The BioCode Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel (GPP) is a qualitative, multiplexed nucleic acid-based *in vitro* diagnostic test intended for use with the BioCode MDx 3000 Instrument. The BioCode GPP is capable of the simultaneous detection and identification of nucleic acids from multiple bacteria, viruses, and parasites extracted directly from unpreserved stool samples or stool preserved in Cary-Blair transport medium obtained from individuals with signs and/or symptoms of gastrointestinal infection. The following bacteria, parasites, and viruses are identified using the BioCode Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel:

- Campylobacter (C. jejuni/C. coli)
- Clostridium difficile (C. difficile) toxin A/B (Fresh samples only)
- Salmonella spp
- Vibrio (V. parahaemolyticus/V. vulnificus/ V. cholerae), including specific identification of Vibrio parahaemolyticus
- Yersinia enterocolitica
- Enteroaggregative Escherichia coli (EAEC)
- Enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETEC) lt/st
- E. coli O157 serogroup
- Shiga-like toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC) stx1/stx2
- Shigella/ Enteroinvasive Escherichia coli (EIEC)
- Cryptosporidium spp (C. parvum/C. hominis)
- Entamoeba histolytica
- Giardia lamblia (also known as G. intestinalis and G. duodenalis)
- Adenovirus F 40/41
- Norovirus GI/GII
- Rotavirus A

The BioCode GPP is indicated as an aid in the diagnosis of specific agents of gastrointestinal illness and results are meant to be used in conjunction with other clinical, laboratory, and epidemiological data. For *In Vitro* Diagnostic Use Only. For Prescription Use Only.

Positive results do not rule out co-infection with organisms not included in the BioCode GPP. The agent detected may not be the definite cause of the disease. Negative results in the setting of clinical illness compatible with gastroenteritis may be due to infection by pathogens that are not detected by this test or non-infectious causes such as ulcerative colitis, irritable bowel syndrome, or Crohn's disease. Concomitant culture is necessary for organism recovery and further typing of bacterial agents. This device is not intended to monitor or guide treatment for *C. difficile* infection.

Same

Characteristic	Proposed Device	Predicate
Instrument	Nucleic Acid Purification System BioCode MDx 3000	Same
Sample Type	Unpreserved stool and stool in Cary Blair Medium	Same
Controls	Externally Sourced - Zeptometrix	Same
Methodology	Multiplex RT-PCR and probe hybridization to biotinylated PCR product(s) followed by fluorescence detection and decoding of barcoded magnetic beads (BMB) that are coupled to target-specific probes	Same
Calibrators	Internal Calibration	Same
	Difference	
Sample Extraction	Roche MagNA Pure 96	easyMAG

### Summary of Performance Characteristics of the Biocode GPP.

#### **Clinical Performance**

A clinical investigational study was performed in which a total of 466 leftover, de-identified samples (275 frozen unpreserved and 191 inoculated Cary-Blair) that were prospectively collected for the clinical study that resulted in the K180041 BioCode GPP clearance were extracted using the MagNA Pure 96 and the easyMAG, and tested on the MDx 3000 system. Fifty-three (53) freshly collected leftover samples were used for the *C. difficile* testing. In addition, a total of 120 samples were contrived and tested to determine the performance characteristics for *Entamoeba histolytica*, *Yersinia enterocolitica* and *Vibrio* (*V. parahaemolyticus*, *V. vulnificus*, and *V. cholerae*). The results of the clinical investigational study follow.

**Table.** Demographic data for archived specimens (frozen unpreserved and inoculated Cary-Blair)

	Archived Samples							
Total Specimen Count	466							
Gender								
Male	248/466 (53.22%)							
Female	211/466 (45.28%)							
Unknown	7/466 (1.50%)							
	Age Category							
≤ 5 yrs	85/466 (18.24%)							
6-21 yrs	91/466 (19.53%)							
22-59 yrs	194/466 (41.63%)							
60+yrs	89/466 (19.10%)							
Unknown	7/466 (1.50%)							

**Table.** Demographic data for fresh specimens (unpreserved)

Fresh Samples						
Total Specimen Count	53					
	Gender					
Male	9/53 (16.98%)					
Female	44/53 (83.02%)					
	Age Category					
≤ 5 yrs	1/53 (1.89%)					
6-21 yrs	5/53 (9.43%)					
22-59 yrs	23/53 (43.40%)					
60+yrs	24/53 (45.28%)					

Clinical sensitivity (positive agreement) was calculated as TP/(TP + FN). TP = true positive or positive by both the EasyMag and the MagNA Pure 96; FN = false negative or negative by the MagNA Pure 96 only. Clinical specificity (negative agreement) was calculated as TN/(TN + FP). TN = true negative or negative by the EasyMag and the MagNA Pure 96; FP = false positive or positive by the MagNA Pure 96 only. The exact binomial two-sided 95% confidence interval was calculated. The results stratified by sample type and storage method are presented in the table below.

**Table.** Performance compared to historical Reference results. Stratified by sample type and extraction method. N=290 samples. 1 Invalid for EasyMAG (Cary-Blair sample); 3 Invalid for MP96 (1 Cary-Blair, 2 Unpreserved).

		Ea	syMAG	MF	<sup>2</sup> 96
Target	Specimen Type	Positive Agreement	Negative Agreement	Positive Agreement	Negative Agreement
J	. ,	PPA (%)	NPA (%)	PPA (%)	NPA (%)
	Inoculated Cary-Blair	3/3 (100%)	135/140 (96%)	3/3 (100%)	134/140 (96%)
Campylobacter spp.	Unpreserved	1/1 (100%)	139/145 (96%)	1/1 (100%)	135/143 (94%)
	All Archived	4/4 (100%)	274/285 (96%)	4/4 (100%)	269/283 (95%)
	Inoculated Cary-Blair	9/12 (75%)	131/131 (100%)	9/12 (75%)	131/131 (100%)
Clostridium difficile	Unpreserved	12/13 (92%)	131/133 (98%)	12/13 (92%)	127/131 (97%)
	All Archived	21/25 (84%)	262/264 (99%)	21/25 (84%)	258/262 (98%)
	Inoculated Cary-Blair	1/1 (100%)	141/142 (99%)	0/1 (N/A)	141/142 (99%)
E. coli 0157	Unpreserved	0/0 (N/A)	144/146 (99%)	0/0 (N/A)	142/144 (99%)
	All Archived	1/1 (100%)	285/288 (99%)	0/1 (N/A)	283/286 (99%)
Enteroaggregative <i>E.</i>	Inoculated Cary-Blair	14/14 (100%)	128/129 (99%)	13/14 (93%)	127/129 (98%)
coli (EAEC)	Unpreserved	13/14 (93%)	131/132 (99%)	13/14 (93%)	130/131 (99%)

		Ea	syMAG	MI	P96
		Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative
Target	Specimen Type	Agreement PPA (%)	Agreement NPA (%)	Agreement PPA (%)	Agreement NPA (%)
	All Archived	27/28 (96%)	259/261 (99%)	26/28 (93%)	257/260 (99%)
Enterotoxigenic E. coli	Inoculated Cary-Blair	3/6 (50%)	137/137 (100%)	3/6 (50%)	137/137 (100%)
(ETEC)	Unpreserved	6/7 (86%)	139/139 (100%)	6/7 (86%)	138/138 (100%)
	All Archived	9/13 (69%)	276/276 (100%)	9/13 (69%)	275/275 (100%)
Shiga toxin-producing	Inoculated Cary-Blair	1/3 (33%)	139/140 (99%)	1/3 (33%)	139/140 (99%)
E. coli (STEC)	Unpreserved	0/0 (N/A)	144/146 (99%)	0/0 (N/A)	142/144 (99%)
	All Archived	1/3 (33%)	283/286 (99%)	1/3 (33%)	281/284 (99%)
	Inoculated Cary-Blair	8/10 (80%)	129/133 (97%)	9/10 (90%)	126/133 (95%)
Salmonella spp.	Unpreserved	10/10 (100%)	132/136 (97%)	10/10 (100%)	131/134 (98%)
	All Archived	18/20 (90%)	261/269 (97%)	19/20 (95%)	257/267 (96%)
	Inoculated Cary-Blair	3/3 (100%)	134/140 (96%)	3/3 (100%)	134/140 (96%)
Shigella/ EIEC	Unpreserved	2/2 (100%)	136/144 (94%)	2/2 (100%)	135/142 (95%)
	All Archived	5/5 (100%)	270/284 (95%)	5/5 (100%)	269/282 (95%)
Vibrio	Inoculated Cary-Blair	0/0 (N/A)	142/143 (99%)	0/0 (N/A)	142/143 (99%)
parahaemolyticus	Unpreserved	0/0 (N/A)	145/146 (99%)	0/0 (N/A)	143/144 (99%)
	All Archived	0/0 (N/A)	287/289 (99%)	0/0 (N/A)	285/287 (99%)
<i>Vibrio</i> spp. (not	Inoculated Cary-Blair	0/0 (N/A)	143/143 (100%)	0/0 (N/A)	143/143 (100%)
parahaemolyticus)	Unpreserved	0/0 (N/A)	146/146 (100%)	0/0 (N/A)	144/144 (100%)
	All Archived	0/0 (N/A)	289/289 (100%)	0/0 (N/A)	287/287 (100%)
	Inoculated Cary-Blair	0/0 (N/A)	141/143 (99%)	0/0 (N/A)	141/143 (99%)
Yersinia enterocolitica	Unpreserved	0/0 (N/A)	146/146 (100%)	0/0 (N/A)	144/144 (100%)
	All Archived	0/0 (N/A)	287/289 (99%)	0/0 (N/A)	285/287 (99%)
	Inoculated Cary-Blair	3/4 (75%)	139/139 (100%)	2/4 (50%)	139/139 (100%)
Cryptosporidium spp.	Unpreserved	3/4 (75%)	142/142 (100%)	3/4 (75%)	140/140 (100%)
	All Archived	6/8 (75%)	281/281 (100%)	5/8 (63%)	279/279 (100%)
Entamoeba histolytica	Inoculated Cary-Blair	0/0 (N/A)	143/143 (100%)	0/0 (N/A)	143/143 (100%)

		Ea	syMAG	MF	P96
Target	Specimen Type	Positive Agreement	Negative Agreement	Positive Agreement	Negative Agreement
, and the second	. ,	PPA (%)	NPA (%)	PPA (%)	NPA (%)
	Unpreserved	0/0 (N/A)	146/146 (100%)	0/0 (N/A)	144/144 (100%)
	All Archived	0/0 (N/A)	289/289 (100%)	0/0 (N/A)	287/287 (100%)
	Inoculated Cary-Blair	1/1 (100%)	141/142 (99%)	1/1 (100%)	141/142 (99%)
Giardia lamblia	Unpreserved	1/1 (100%)	142/145 (98%)	1/1 (100%)	140/143 (98%)
	All Archived	2/2 (100%)	283/287 (99%)	2/2 (100%)	281/285 (99%)
	Inoculated Cary-Blair	1/2 (50%)	142/142 (100%)	1/2 (50%)	138/141 (98%)
Adenovirus 40/41	Unpreserved	5/6 (83%)	137/140 (98%)	5/6 (83%)	134/138 (97%)
	All Archived	6/8 (75%)	279/282 (99%)	6/8 (75%)	272/279 (97%)
	Inoculated Cary-Blair	19/19 (100%)	124/124 (100%)	19/19 (100%)	122/124 (98%)
Norovirus (GI/GII)	Unpreserved	19/19 (100%)	125/127 (98%)	19/19 (100%)	124/125 (99%)
	All Archived	38/38 (100%)	249/251 (99%)	38/38 (100%)	246/249 (99%)
	Inoculated Cary-Blair	11/11 (100%)	130/132 (98%)	11/11 (100%)	132/133 (99%)
Rotavirus A	Unpreserved	9/9 (100%)	135/137 (99%)	9/9 (100%)	130/135 (96%)
	All Archived	20/20 (100%)	264/269 (99%)	20/20 (100%)	262/268 (98%)

### Footnotes (D = Detected, N = Not Detected)

		Res	orical sults 0041)	MPS Extrac (K190)	tion	
Target	Speci men ID	Refere nce	BioC ode GPP	easy MAG	MP 96	Comment
	01- 0027	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq C. jejuni, but contig too short; concordant with historical GPP result
	01- 0048	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/Seq C. jejuni; concordant with historical GPP result
	01- 0137	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/Seq C. jejuni; concordant with historical GPP result
	01- 0237	Ν	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/Seq C. jejuni; concordant with historical GPP result
Campylobacter spp	01- 0352	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/Seq C. jejuni; concordant with historical GPP result
	02- 0159	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/Seq C. jejuni; concordant with historical GPP result
	02- 0183	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/Seq C. jejuni; concordant with historical GPP result
	02- 0367	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/Seq not detected, concordant with historical GPP result
	03- 0153	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/Seq not detected, concordant with historical GPP result

		Res	orical sults 0041)	MP! Extrac (K190	tion	
Target	Speci men ID	Refere nce	BioC ode GPP	easy MAG	MP 96	Comment
	03- 0308	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/Seq C. jejuni; concordant with historical GPP result
	03- 0334	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/Seq C. jejuni; concordant with historical GPP result
	02- 0069	N	D	Ν	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/Seq C. jejuni; MP96 concordant with historical GPP result
	02- 0193	N	N	N	D	Possible Contamination; see repeat results for additional information
	03- 0364	N	N	N	D	Possible Contamination; see repeat results for additional information
	01- 0031	N	D	D	D	Reference Ct beyond cut-off (38.7); concordant with historical GPP Result
	01- 0063	N	D	D	D	Reference Ct beyond cut-off with ref (38.3); concordant with historical GPP Result
	02- 0201	D	N	D	N	Late Ct with ref (36.6) tested fresh, low positive; see repeat results for additional informations
	02- 0350	N	N	N	D	Possible Contamination; see repeat results for additional information
Clostridium difficile	03- 0053	D	D	N	D	Late Ct with ref (36.2) tested fresh; low positive; see repeat results for additional informations
	03- 0351	N	N	N	D	Possible Contamination; see repeat results for additional information
	01- 0002	D	N	N	N	Late Ct with ref (36.6) tested fresh; concordant with historical GPP Result (frozen)
	02- 0378	D	Ν	N	N	Late Ct with ref (36.6) tested fresh; concordant with historical GPP Result (fresh)
	03- 0353	D	D	N	N	Late Ct with ref (35.5) tested frozen; possible sample degradation
	02- 0080	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq O157; concordant with historical GPP result
E. coli 0157	02- 0082	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq O157; concordant with historical GPP result
L. CON 0137	02- 0206	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq O157; concordant with historical GPP result
	02- 0279	D	D	N	N	Possible sample degradation; current testing concordant
	03- 0140	N	D	D	D	Late Ct with ref (37.1) on repeat testing; concordant with historical GPP Result
	02- 0183	N	N	D	N	Possible low positive; see repeat results for additional information
Enteroaggregati	03- 0004	D	D	D	N	Possible low positive; see repeat results for additional information
ve <i>E. coli</i> (EAEC)	02- 0367	N	N	N	D	Possible Contamination; see repeat results for additional information
	03- 0046	N	N	N	D	Possible Contamination; see repeat results for additional information
	02- 0203	D	N	N	N	Reference result could not be confirmed by 2 additional rounds of PCR/Seq; concordant with historical GPP Result
	02- 0203	D	N	N	N	Reference result could not be confirmed by 2 additional rounds of PCR/Seq; concordant with historical GPP Result
Enterotoxigenic	02- 0207	D	N	N	N	Late Ct (35.2) Reference result could not be confirmed by 2 additional rounds of PCR/Seq; concordant with historical GPP Result
E. coli (ETEC)	03- 0004	D	N	N	N	Reference result could not be confirmed by 2 additional rounds of PCR/Seq; concordant with historical GPP Result
	02- 0391	D	D	N	N	Late Ct (37.8) with ref tested fresh; possible sample degradation
Salmonella spp.	01- 0091	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq S.enterica; concordant with historical GPP result

		Res	orical sults 0041)	MPS Extrac (K190)	tion	
Target	Speci men ID	Refere nce	BioC ode GPP	easy MAG	MP 96	Comment
	01- 0137	N	N	D	N	Possible Contamination; see repeat results
	01- 0278	D	D	Ν	D	See repeat results for additional information
	01- 0346	D	D	N	N	Possible sample degradation; current testing concordant
	02- 0195	N	N	N	D	Possible Contamination; see repeat results for additional information
	02- 0213	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq S.enterica; concordant with historical GPP result
	02- 0260	N	N	Ν	D	Possible Contamination; see repeat results for additional information
	02- 0378	N	N	N	D	Possible Contamination; see repeat results for additional information
	03- 0116	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq S.enterica; concordant with historical GPP result
	03- 0147	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq S.enterica; concordant with historical GPP result
	03- 0173	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq S.typhi; concordant with historical GPP result
	03- 0189	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq S.enterica; concordant with historical GPP result
	03- 0206	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq S.typhi; concordant with historical GPP result
	01- 0098	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq STEC; concordant with historical GPP result
	02- 0367	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq STEC; concordant with historical GPP result
Shiga toxin- producing <i>E. coli</i>	02- 0393	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq STEC; concordant with historical GPP result
(STEC)	02- 0279	D	D	D	N	See repeat results for additional information
	02- 0081	D	D	N	N	Possible sample degradation; current testing concordant
	01- 0019	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq Shigella spp; concordant with historical GPP result
	01- 0020	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq Shigella spp; concordant with historical GPP result
	01- 0027	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq Shigella spp; concordant with historical GPP result
	01- 0099	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq Shigella spp; concordant with historical GPP result
	01- 0199	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq Shigella spp; concordant with historical GPP result
	01- 0201	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq Shigella spp; concordant with historical GPP result
Shigella/EIEC	01- 0202	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq Shigella spp; concordant with historical GPP result
	01- 0259	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq Shigella spp; concordant with historical GPP result
	02- 0176	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq Shigella spp; concordant with historical GPP result
	03- 0056	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq Shigella spp; concordant with historical GPP result
	03- 0090	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq Shigella spp; concordant with historical GPP result
	03- 0238	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq Shigella spp; concordant with historical GPP result

		Res	orical sults 0041)	MP9 Extrac (K190)	tion	
Target	Speci men ID	Refere nce	BioC ode GPP	easy MAG	MP 96	Comment
	03- 0380	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq Shigella spp; concordant with historical GPP result
	01- 0319	N	N	D	N	Possible low positive; see repeat results for additional information
Vibrio parahaemolyticu	03- 0194	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq V.parahaemolyticus; concordant with historical GPP result
s	03- 0351	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq V.parahaemolyticus; concordant with historical GPP result
Yersinia	01- 0024	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq V.parahaemolyticus; concordant with historical GPP result
enterocolitica	03- 0307	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (culture) not as sensitive, PCR/seq not detected; concordant with historical GPP result
	01- 0212	D	D	D	N	See repeat results for additional information
Cryptosporidium parvum	01- 0265	D	D	N	N	Possible sample degradation; current testing concordant
	01- 0285	D	D	N	N	Possible sample degradation; current testing concordant
	01- 0002	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (PCR/Seq) not as sensitive; concordant with historical GPP result
Giardia	01- 0300	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (PCR/Seq) not as sensitive; concordant with historical GPP result
intestinalis	02- 0258	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (PCR/Seq) not as sensitive; concordant with historical GPP result
	03- 0140	N	D	D	D	Reference assay (PCR/Seq) not as sensitive; concordant with historical GPP result
	01- 0128	N	N	D	D	concordant with historical GPP result
	01- 0021	N	N	D	N	Possible Contamination; see repeat results for additional information
	02- 0288	N	N	D	N	Possible Contamination; see repeat results for additional information
	01- 0137	N	N	N	D	Possible Contamination; see repeat results for additional information
	02- 0161	N	N	N	D	Possible Contamination; see repeat results for additional information
Human adenovirus	02- 0183	N	N	N	D	Possible Contamination; see repeat results for additional information
40/41	02- 0379	N	N	N	D	Possible Contamination; see repeat results for additional information
	02- 0391	N	N	N	D	Possible Contamination; see repeat results for additional information
	03- 0400	N	N	N	D	Possible Contamination; see repeat results for additional information
	02- 0137	D	N	N	N	Reference result could not be confirmed by 2 additional rounds of PCR/Seq; concordant with historical GPP Result
	02- 0147	D	D	N	N	Possible sample degradation; current testing concordant
	01- 0004	N	D	D	D	concordant with historical GPP result
Name in a CI/C''	01- 0036	N	N	D	N	Low positive based on MFI (3150)
Norovirus GI/GII	02- 0376	N	N	N	D	Low positive based on MFI (1265)
02-		D	Low positive based on MFI (2249)			
Human rotavirus A	01- 0128	N	N	D	D	Current testing concordant

		Res	orical sults 0041)	MP96 Extraction (K190585)		
Target	Speci men ID	Refere nce	BioC ode GPP	easy MAG	MP 96	Comment
	01- 0337	N	D	D	D	concordant with historical GPP result
	02- 0175	N	D	D	D	concordant with historical GPP result
	02- 0246	N	D	D	N	Possible low positive; see repeat results for additional information
	01- 0098	N	D	N	D	Possible low positive; see repeat results for additional information
	01- 0202	N	N	N	D	Possible Contamination/low positive; see repeat results for additional information
	03- 0400	N	N	N	D	Possible Contamination; see repeat results for additional information

### Comparator (Reference) methods from BioCode GPP original submission

<u>Target Pathogen/Toxin</u> <u>Reference Method</u>

Adenovirus 40/41 Composite result of PCR/sequencing

Campylobacter (C. *jejuni*, C. *coli*) Culture

Clostridium difficile (C. difficile) toxin A/B
Cryptosporidium (C. parvum, C. hominis)
PCR/sequencing

Entamoeba histolytica PCR/sequencing
Escherichia coli (E. coli) O157 Enrichment culture
Enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC) Composite result of PCR/sequencing

Enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC) LT/ST

Composite result of PCR/sequencing
Enteroaggregative E. coli (EAEC)

Composite result of PCR/sequencing

Giardia lamblia /intestinalis

Composite result of PCR/sequencing

Norovirus GI/GII Composite result of PCR/sequencing Rotavirus A Composite result of PCR/sequencing

Salmonella Enrichment culture

Shiga-like Toxin producing *E. coli* (STEC) stx1/stx2 Enrichment culture/cleared antigen test

Shigella (S. boydii, S. sonnei, S. flexneri, S. dysenteriae)/EIEC Enrichment culture

Vibrio spp. (V. cholerae, V. parahaemolyticus, V. vulnificus)

Culture

Yersinia enterocolitica

Culture

**Table.** Summary of Clinical Investigational Study Results (Archived Specimens) stratified by sample type and storage

**Positive Agreement Negative Agreement** Specimen (n) **Target** Type PPA (%) 95% CI **NPA (%)** 95% CI Inoculated 190 22/23 (95.65) 79.0 - 99.2164/167 (98.20) 94.9 - 99.4Cary-Blair Campylobacter Unpreserved 274 27/27 (100) 87.5 - 100 244/247 (98.80) 96.5 - 99.6spp.a (Frozen) 464 49/50 (98.0) 408/414 (98.60) All Archived 89.5 - 99.696.9 - 99.3Inoculated 190 10/11 (90.91) 62.3 - 98.4178/179 (99.44) 96.9 - 99.9Clostridium Cary-Blair difficile<sup>b</sup> Unpreserved 274 21/22 (95.45) 78.2 - 99.2250/252 (99.20) 97.2 - 99.8(Frozen)

Target	Specimen	(n)	Positive Ag	reement	Negative Agr	reement
rarget	Туре	(11)	PPA (%)	95% CI	NPA (%)	95% CI
	All Archived	464	31/33 (93.94)	80.4 – 98.3	428/431 (99.30)	98.0 – 99.8
	Inoculated Cary-Blair	190	3/3 (100)	43.9 - 100	187/187 (100)	98.0 - 100
E. coli O157	Unpreserved (Frozen)	274	14/14 (100)	78.5 - 100	260/260 (100)	98.5 - 100
	All Archived	464	17/17 (100)	81.6 - 100	447/447 (100)	99.1 - 100
	Inoculated Cary-Blair	190	15/17 (88.24)	65.7 – 96.7	171/173 (98.8)	95.9 – 99.7
Enteroaggregative E. coli (EAEC) <sup>c</sup>	Unpreserved (Frozen)	274	29/29 (100)	88.3 - 100	244/245 (99.59)	97.7 – 99.9
	All Archived	464	44/46 (95.65)	85.5 – 98.8	416/418 (99.50)	98.3 -99.9
Enteretevigenie	Inoculated Cary-Blair	190	3/5 (60.00)	23.1 – 88.2	185/185 (100)	98.0 - 100
Enterotoxigenic  E. coli (ETEC) d	Unpreserved (Frozen)	274	13/13 (100)	77.2 - 100	261/261 (100)	98.5 - 100
	All Archived	464	16/18 (88.89)	67.2 – 96.9	446/446 (100)	99.1 - 100
Shiga toxin-	Inoculated Cary-Blair	190	12/13 (92.31)	66.7 – 98.6	177/177 (100)	97.9 - 100
producing <i>E. coli</i> (STEC) <sup>e</sup>	Unpreserved (Frozen)	274	29/30 (96.67)	83.3 – 99.4	243/244 (99.60)	97.7 – 99.9
	All Archived	464	41/43 (95.35)	84.5 – 98.7	420/421 (99.80)	98.7 - 100
	Inoculated Cary-Blair	190	17/17 (100)	81.6 - 100	169/173 (97.70)	94.2 – 99.1
Salmonella spp. <sup>f</sup>	Unpreserved (Fresh)	274	25/27 (92.59)	76.6 – 97.9	246/247 (99.60)	97.7 – 99.9
	All Archived	464	42/44 (95.45)	84.9 – 98.7	415/420 (98.80)	97.2 – 99.5
	Inoculated Cary-Blair	190	9/9 (100)	70.1 - 100	181/181 (100)	97.9 - 100
Shigella/ EIEC <sup>g</sup>	Unpreserved (Frozen)	274	20/22 (90.91)	72.2 – 97.5	252/252 (100)	98.5 - 100
	All Archived	464	29/31 (93.55)	79.3 – 98.2	433/433 (100)	99.1 - 100
Vibrio	Inoculated Cary-Blair	190	1/1 (100)	20.7 - 100	189/189 (100)	98.0 - 100
parahaemolyticus <sup>h</sup>	Unpreserved (Frozen)	274	1/1 (100)	20.7 - 100	272/273 (99.6)	98.0 – 99.9
	All Archived	464	2/2 (100)	34.2 – 100	461/462 (99.8)	99.8 - 100
<i>Vibrio</i> spp. (not	Inoculated Cary-Blair	190	N/A	N/A	190/190 (100)	98.0 - 100
parahaemolyticus) <sup>i</sup>	Unpreserved (Frozen)	274	0/1 (0%)	N/A	273/274 (99.6)	98.0 – 99.6
	All Archived	464	0/1 (0%)	N/A	463/464 (99.8)	98.8 - 100
Yersinia	Inoculated Cary-Blair	190	3/3 (100)	43.9 - 100	187/187 (100)	98.0 - 100
enterocolitica <sup>j</sup>	Unpreserved (Frozen)	274	3/3 (100)	43.9 - 100	269/271 (99.26)	97.3 – 99.8
	All Archived	464	6/6 (100)	61.0 – 100	456/458 (99.6)	98.4- 99.9

Target	Specimen	(n)	Positive Ag	greement	Negative Ag	eement
raiget	Туре	(11)	PPA (%)	95% CI	NPA (%)	95% CI
Countagnaridium	Inoculated Cary-Blair	190	11/12 (91.67)	64.6 – 98.5	178/178 (100)	97.9 - 100
<i>Cryptosporidium</i> spp. <sup>k</sup>	Unpreserved (Frozen)	274	22/24 (91.67)	74.2 – 97.7	248/250 (99.20)	97.1 – 99.8
	All Archived	464	33/36 (91.67)	78.2 – 97.1	426/428 (99.5)	98.3 – 99.9
Entamoeba	Inoculated Cary-Blair	190	N/A	N/A	190/190 (100)	98.0 - 100
histolytica	Unpreserved (Frozen)	274	N/A	N/A	274/274 (100)	98.6 - 100
	All Archived	464	N/A	N/A	464/464 (100)	99.2 - 100
	Inoculated Cary-Blair	190	3/3 (100)	43.90 - 100	187/187 (100)	98.0 - 100
Giardia lamblia <sup>I</sup>	Unpreserved (Frozen)	274	14/14 (100)	78.5 - 100	255/260 (98.1)	95.6 – 99.2
	All Archived	464	17/17 (100)	81.6 - 100	442/447 (98.9)	97.4 – 99.5
	Inoculated Cary-Blair	190	7/10 (70.00)	39.7 – 89.2	177/180 (98.32)	95.2 – 99.4
Adenovirus 40/41 <sup>m</sup>	Unpreserved (Frozen)	274	11/14 (78.60)	52.4 – 92.4	252/260 (96.90)	94.0 – 98.4
	All Archived	464	21/24 (87.50)	69.0 – 95.7	429/440 (97.5)	95.6 – 98.6
Norovirus	Inoculated Cary-Blair	190	19/19 (100)	83.2 - 100	168/171 (98.20)	95.0 – 99.4
(GI/GII) <sup>n</sup>	Unpreserved (Frozen)	274	21/22 (95.45)	78.2 – 99.2	248/252 (98.40)	96.0 – 99.4
	All Archived	464	37/41 (90.24)	77.5 – 96.1	416/423 (98.3)	96.6 – 99.2
	Inoculated Cary-Blair	190	12/13 (92.31)	66.7 – 98.6	176/177 (99.44)	96.9 – 99.9
Rotavirus A °	Unpreserved (Frozen)	274	15/15 (100)	79.6 - 100	255/259 (98.5)	96.1 – 99.4
Citta favor (CA) analismada	All Archived	464	27/28 (96.43)	82.3 – 99.4	431/436 (98.9)	97.3 – 99.5

Sixty-four (64) archived samples with discordant results were retested twice with both easyMag and/or MagNA Pure 96 systems.

- a Campylobacter spp. One (1) false negative retested became true positive. Of the 5 false positives retested, 2 were true negative, one became true positive, two remained false positive. One false positive not retested due to insufficient volume to retest.
- b Clostridium difficile: Of the 2 false negatives retested, 1 became true positive, the other one was true negative. Of the 3 false positives retested, 2 were true negative and 1 was true positive.
- c EAEC: Of the 2 false negatives retested, 1 was false positive and 1 remained false negative. Of the 3 false positives retested, 2 were true negative and 1 was true positive.
- d ETEC: Two (2) false negatives tested were true negative.
- e STEC: Of 3 false positives retested, 2 were true positive and 1 was true negative.
- f *Salmonella* spp. Of the 2 false negatives retested, one was false positive and the other one was true negative. Of the 5 false positives retested, 4 were true negative and 1 was true positive.
- g Shigella/EIEC: Of the 2 false negatives retested, 1 was true positive and the other remained false negative.
- h Vibrio parahaemolyticus: The 1 false positive retested was true negative.
- i Vibrio spp. The 1 false negative retested remained false negative. Detected as Vibrio parahaemolyticus by MP96.
- j Yersinia enterocolitica: Two (2) false positives retested were true negative.
- k Cryptosporidium spp: Of the 3 false negatives retested, 1 was true negative and 2 were false negative. The 2 false positives retested remained false positive.

- I Giardia lamblia: Of the 5 false positives retested, 1 became true positive, 2 were true negative. The remaining 2 were not retested due insufficient volume to retest.
- m- Adenovirus 40/41: All 6 false negatives retested were true negatives. Of the 11 false positives, 9 were retested and became true negative, and the remaining 2 were not retested due insufficient volume to retest.
- n Norovirus G1/G2: The 1 false negative retested was true negative. Of the 7 false positives retested, 6 were true negative and one became true positive.
- o Rotavirus: The 1 false negative retested was true negative. Of the 5 false positives retested, 1 was true positive, 3 were true negative, and 1 remained false positive.

**Table**. Results of Reflex Testing of Invalid Samples (Archived Samples)

Target	Sample Name	Sample Type	Extraction	Original Run	Reflex Testing Result	Final Result
	01-0079	Inoculated Cary-Blair	EasyMag	IC Invalid	IC Valid	Valid
	01-0073	Inoculated Cary-Blair	MagNA Pure	IC Invalid	IC Invalid	Invalid
Internal Control (MS2)	02-0211	Unpreserved	MagNa Pure	IC Invalid	IC Invalid	Invalid
	02-0228	Unpreserved	EasyMag	IC Invalid	IC Valid	Valid
	Mayo-G1_124	Unpreserved	MagNA Pure	IC Invalid	IC Valid	Valid

*Note.* Five (5) archived samples were invalid. After relflex testing, two samples (1 inoculated Cary-Blair and 1 unpreserved) were still invalid.

Table. Summary of Clinical Investigational Study Results of Fresh Specimens

Target	Specimen	(n)	Positive Agr	eement	Negative Agreement		
raiget	Туре	(11)	PPA (%)	95% CI	NPA (%)	95% CI	
Campylobacter spp.	Unpreserved (Fresh)	47	N/A	N/A	47/47(100)	92.4– 100	
Clostridium difficile	Unpreserved (Fresh)	52	30/30 (100)	88.6 -100	22/22 (100)	85.1 – 100	
E. coli O157	Unpreserved (Fresh)	47	N/A	N/A	47/47(100)	92.4– 100	
Enteroaggregative <i>E.</i> coli (EAEC)	Unpreserved (Fresh)	47	N/A	N/A	47/47(100)	92.4– 100	
Enterotoxigenic <i>E. coli</i> (ETEC)	Unpreserved (Fresh)	47	N/A	N/A	47/47(100)	92.4– 100	
Shiga toxin-producing E. coli (STEC)	Unpreserved (Fresh)	47	N/A	N/A	47/47(100)	92.4– 100	
Salmonella spp.	Unpreserved (Fresh)	47	1/1 (100)	20.7 -100	46/46 (100)	92.3–100	
Shigella/ EIEC	Unpreserved (Fresh)	47	1/1 (100)	20.7 -100	46/46 (100)	92.3–100	
Vibrio parahaemolyticus	Unpreserved (Fresh)	47	N/A	N/A	47/47(100)	92.4– 100	
Vibrio spp. (not parahaemolyticus)	Unpreserved (Fresh)	47	N/A	N/A	47/47(100)	92.4– 100	
Yersinia enterocolitica	Unpreserved (Fresh)	47	N/A	N/A	47/47(100)	92.4– 100	

Target	Specimen	(n)	Positive Agre	eement	Negative Agreement		
raiget	Туре	(11)	PPA (%)	95% CI	NPA (%)	95% CI	
Cryptosporidium spp.	Unpreserved (Fresh)	47	N/A	N/A	47/47(100)	92.4– 100	
Entamoeba histolytica	Unpreserved (Fresh)	47	N/A	N/A	47/47(100)	92.4– 100	
Giardia lamblia	Unpreserved (Fresh)	47	N/A	N/A	47/47(100)	92.4– 100	
Adenovirus 40/41	Unpreserved (Fresh)	47	N/A	N/A	47/47(100)	92.4– 100	
Norovirus (GI/GII)	Unpreserved (Fresh)	47	1/1 (100)	20.7 -100	46/46 (100)	92.3–100	
Rotavirus A	Unpreserved (Fresh)	47	N/A	N/A	47/47(100)	92.4– 100	

*Note*. Fifty-three (53) fresh unpreserved samples were tested. The Internal Control (MS2) result of the 5 *C. difficile* positives and 1 *C. difficile* negative were invalid. Therefore, one sample for *C. difficile* target was invalid. For the remaining targets, 6 samples were invalid.

**Table.** Summary of Contrived Specimen Results

Target	Specimen	(n)	Positive Ag	reement	Negative Agreement		
raiget	Туре	(11)	PPA (%)	95% CI	NPA (%)	95% CI	
Vibrio parahaemolyticus <sup>a</sup>	Unpreserved (Frozen)	119	28/30 (93.3)	78.7 – 98.2	89/89 (100)	95.9 – 100	
Vibrio spp. (not parahaemolyticus) <sup>b</sup>	Unpreserved (Frozen)	118	29/29 (100)	88.3 - 100	89/89 (100)	95.9 – 100	
Yersinia enterocolitica	Unpreserved (Frozen)	118	30/30 (100)	88.6 – 100	88/88 (100)	95.8 – 100	
Entamoeba histolytica	Unpreserved (Frozen)	118	30/30 (100)	88.6 – 100	88/88 (100)	96.8 – 100	
All other targets	Unpreserved (Frozen)	118	N/A	N/A	118/118 (100)	96.8 – 100	

Note. One hundred twenty (120) contrived samples were tested. <sup>a</sup> The Internal control (MS2) result of one of the Vibrio parahaemolyticus positives was invalid. <sup>b</sup> One (1) of the Vibrio spp. positives was negative and invalid (IC negative) with both the easyMag and the MagNA Pure 96.

**Table.** Clinical Co-infection Summary

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Co-infection sumr	nary	
+1 Target	246/466 (52.8%)	
+2 Targets	78/466 (16.7%)	
+3 Targets	13/466 (2.8%)	

### **Analytical Performance**

The results of the analytical studies summarized in the following paragraphs met the acceptance criteria and successfully demonstrated the analytical performance characteristics of the proposed BioCode GPP using the MagNA Pure 96 extraction system.

### **REPRODUCIBILITY STUDY**

A study was performed to assess the Reproducibility of the BioCode GPP using samples extracted with the MagNA Pure 96. This study was designed to assess intra-assay (within run), Inter-assay (run-to-run), day-to-day and instrument-to-instrument (operator-to-operator) reproducibility. One lot of reagents was assayed at Applied BioCode on 3 instruments by 3 operators, 2 runs per day per operator for 5 days (total of 30 runs). The reproducibility panel consisted of 7 contrived samples (sample 7 a negative control) extracted in triplicate and each assayed in singlet. The samples consisted of combinations of 12 representative targets at 1.5x LoD (Low) and 3x LoD (Medium). Reproducibility was > 99%.

All results are as expected with the exception of two false negative results for *Cryptosporidium parvum* (one low positive and one medium positive).

**Table.** Reproducibility of BioCode GPP with MP96 extractions- Qualitative results.

		ocode GPP with MP3			% Agreement with Expected Result				
Organism Tested	Target Probe	Concentration Tested	Expected Results	Instrument1 - Operator 1	Instrument2 - Operator 2	Instrument3 - Operator 3	All Instruments/ Operators		
Compulabratas		Medium Positive 3xLoD 1.05 x 10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	90/90 (100%)		
Campylobacter jejuni spp. jejuni ATCC 33292	Campy	Low Positive 1.5xLoD 5.25 x 10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	90/90 (100%		
		None	Not Detected	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	450/450 (100%)		
Clostridium difficile	tcdB	Medium Positive 3xLoD 1.25 x 10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	90/90 (100%)		
(toxinotype III; Nap1) Zeptometrix 0801619cf		Low Positive 1.5xLoD 6.23 x 10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	90/90 (100%)		
		None	Not Detected	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	450/450 (100%)		
Enteroaggregative E. coli O92:H33	EAEC	Medium Positive 3xLoD 2.10 x 10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	90/90 (100%)		
(EAEC) STEC TW04440		Low Positive 1.5xLoD 1.05 x 10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	90/90 (100%)		

				% Agreement with Expected Result			
Organism Tested	Target Probe	Concentration Tested	Expected Results	Instrument1 - Operator 1	Instrument2 - Operator 2	Instrument3 - Operator 3	All Instruments/ Operators
		None	Not Detected	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	450/450 (100%)
Enterotoxigenic E.		Medium Positive 3xLoD 8.40 x 10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	90/90 (100%)
coli 078:H11 H10407 (ETEC) ATCC 35401	ST-1a	Low Positive 1.5xLoD 4.20 x 10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	90/90 (100%)
		None	Not Detected	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	450/450 (100%)
Salmonella		Medium Positive 3xLoD 3.30 x 10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	90/90 (100%)
enterica ssp. enterica ATCC 14028	Salm	Low Positive 1.5xLoD 1.65 x 10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	90/90 (100%)
		None	Not Detected	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	450/450 (100%)
Shiga-like toxin	stx2	Medium Positive 3xLoD 3.75 x 10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	90/90 (100%)
producing E. coli (STEC) ATCC BAA-2217		Low Positive 1.5xLoD 1.88 x10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	90/90 (100%)
		None	Not Detected	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	450/450 (100%)
		Medium Positive 3xLoD 6.60 x 10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	90/90 (100%)
Shigella sonnei ATCC 29930	Shig	Low Positive 1.5xLoD 3.30 x 10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	90/90 (100%)
		None	Not Detected	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	450/450 (100%)
Vibrio parahaemolyticus ATCC 17802	V.para	Medium Positive 3xLoD 1.95 x10 <sup>1</sup> CFU/mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	90/90 (100%)

				% Agreement with Expected Result				
Organism Tested	Target Probe	Concentration Tested	Expected Results	Instrument1 - Operator 1	Instrument2 - Operator 2	Instrument3 - Operator 3	All Instruments/ Operators	
		Low Positive 1.5xLoD 9.75x10° CFU/mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	90/90 (100%)	
		None	Not Detected	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	450/450 (100%)	
Yersinia		Medium Positive 3xLoD 2.25 x10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	90/90 (100%)	
enterocolitica ATCC 23715	Y.ent	Low Positive 1.5xLoD 1.13 x10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	90/90 (100%)	
		None	Not Detected	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	450/450 (100%)	
Constant distribution	Crypto	Medium Positive 3xLoD 9.30 x 10 <sup>3</sup> oocysts/mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	29/30 (97%)	89/90 (99%)	
Cryptosporidium parvum waterborne P102		Low Positive 1.5xLoD 4.65 x 10 <sup>3</sup> oocysts/mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	29/30 (97%)	89/90 (99%)	
		None	Not Detected	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	450/450 (100%)	
Cinedia intentinalia		Medium Positive 3xLoD 2.70 x 10 <sup>3</sup> cysts/mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	90/90 (100%)	
Giardia intestinalis (aka G. lamblia) waterborne P101	G.lam	Low Positive 1.5xLoD 1.35 x 10 <sup>3</sup> cysts/mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	90/90 (100%)	
		None	Not Detected	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	450/450 (100%)	
Rotavirus	Rota	Medium Positive 3xLoD 3.75 x 10 <sup>3</sup> TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	90/90 (100%)	
ATCC VR-2018		Low Positive 1.5xLoD 1.88 x 10 <sup>2</sup> TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	Detected	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	30/30 (100%)	90/90 (100%)	

	Organism Tested Target Probe	Concentration Tested		% Agreement with Expected Result				
Organism Tested			Expected Results	Instrument1 - Operator 1	Instrument2 - Operator 2	Instrument3 - Operator 3	All Instruments/ Operators	
		None	Not Detected	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	150/150 (100%)	450/450 (100%)	

Table. Reproducibility of BioCode GPP with MP96 extractions- Quantitative results

Organism	Target	Toot Lovel	Instrument/Operator		MFI R	eprodu	ibility	
Organism	Probe	Test Level	Instrument/Operator	Mean	StDev	%CV	Min	Max
		Moderate	C2- Operator 1	26128	4013	15	8265	29801
		Positive 3xLoD 1.05 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	C3- Operator 2	28562	2652	9	22187	33021
			C4- Operator 3	24154	2714	11	18890	28822
Campylobacter jejuni spp. jejuni	Campy	CFU/mL	All Instruments/Operators	26281	3637	14	8265	33021
ATCC 33292	Сапіру	Low Positive	C2- Operator 1	24831	3563	14	14326	30367
		1.5xLoD	C3- Operator 2	25831	4285	17	14406	31649
		5.25 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	C4- Operator 3	21655	4326	20	10566	30156
		CFU/mL	All Instruments/Operators	24106	4407	14	10566	31649
		Moderate	C2- Operator 1	27589	2276	8	22487	31505
		Positive 3xLoD	C3- Operator 2	22672	3726	16	17112	32047
Clostridium difficile		1.25 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	C4- Operator 3	22780	2838	11	16926	27598
(toxinotype III;	tcdB	CFU/mL	All Instruments/Operators	24347	3762	15	16926	32047
Nap1)	tcub	Low Positive 1.5xLoD 6.23 x 10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	C2- Operator 1	25007	1761	7	20436	27552
Zeptometrix 0801619cf			C3- Operator 2	20116	3513	17	13752	27461
			C4- Operator 3	20902	2561	11	15608	27662
			All Instruments/Operators	22008	3438	16	13752	27662
		Moderate Positive 3xLoD 2.10 x 10³ CFU/mL  Low Positive 1.5xLoD	C2- Operator 1	30474	1672	5	25616	33694
			C3- Operator 2	28424	3220	14	20108	34967
			C4- Operator 3	25129	2198	9	18787	28489
Enteroaggregati ve E. coli	EAEC		All Instruments/Operators	28009	3281	12	18787	34967
O92:H33 (EAEC)	LALC		C2- Operator 1	29161	1492	5	26343	31716
STEC TW04440			C3- Operator 2	25402	3630	14	18723	32890
		1.05 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	C4- Operator 3	22836	2538	11	15300	27002
		CFU/mL	All Instruments/Operators	25800	3734	15	15300	32890
		Moderate	C2- Operator 1	35769	1009	3	33677	38306
		Positive 3xLoD	C3- Operator 2	34358	4831	14	25398	42656
Enterotoxigenic		8.40 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	C4- Operator 3	28730	3638	13	22254	35493
E. coli O78:H11	ST-1a	CFU/mL	All Instruments/Operators	32952	4648	14	22254	42656
H10407 (ETEC)	31-1d	Low Positive	C2- Operator 1	32795	2240	7	28536	36716
ATCC 35401		1.5xLoD	C3- Operator 2	30726	3040	10	24219	35644
		4.20 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	C4- Operator 3	24907	2765	11	20236	30170
		CFU/mL =	All Instruments/Operators	29476	4291	15	20236	36716
	Salm		C2- Operator 1	15291	1496	10	12588	18918

	Target				MFI R	eprodu	cibility	
Organism	Probe	Test Level	Instrument/Operator	Mean	StDev	%CV	Min	Max
		Moderate	C3- Operator 2	13931	2645	19	6284	18489
		Positive 3xLoD	C4- Operator 3	15701	1456	9	13306	19184
Salmonella		3.30 x 10 <sup>3</sup>						
enterica ssp.		CFU/mL	All Instruments/Operators	14974	2068	14	6284	19184
enterica ATCC 14028		Low Positive	C2- Operator 1	17247	1108	6	15153	19682
ATCC 14028		1.5xLoD	C3- Operator 2	14880	1682	11	10847	19127
		1.65 x 10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	C4- Operator 3	16234	1455	7	13301	19674
		,	All Instruments/Operators	16120	1721	10	10847	19682
		Moderate	C2- Operator 1	27217	1112	4	23606	30023
		Positive 3xLoD	C3- Operator 2	31888	1316	4	29183	34448
Shiga-like toxin		3.75 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	C4- Operator 3	26310	1515	6	22970	30028
producing E. coli	stx2	CFU/mL	All Instruments/Operators	28472	2785	10	22970	34448
(STEC) ATCC BAA-2217		Low Positive	C2- Operator 1	27467	1547	6	23914	30339
71100 5711 2217		1.5xLoD	C3- Operator 2	31460	1501	5	28002	34121
		1.88 x10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	C4- Operator 3	25293	2097	8	20340	29403
		CFO/IIIL	All Instruments/Operators	28073	3089	11	20340	34121
		Moderate	C2- Operator 1	17531	1173	7	15327	19469
		Positive 3xLoD 6.60 x 10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL  Low Positive 1.5xLoD 3.30 x 10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	C3- Operator 2	15369	3781	25	7573	21561
			C4- Operator 3	16454	2056	13	11910	21114
Shigella sonnei	Shig		All Instruments/Operators	16451	2697	16	7573	21561
ATCC 29930	31116		C2- Operator 1	13919	1862	13	9233	17022
			C3- Operator 2	12242	2503	20	6435	16434
			C4- Operator 3	12832	2184	17	8352	17489
			All Instruments/Operators	12998	2283	18	6435	17489
		Moderate	C2- Operator 1	23605	2305	10	17750	29798
		Positive 3xLoD	C3- Operator 2	21458	3112	15	13138	27526
		1.95 x10 <sup>1</sup>	C4- Operator 3	17486	2821	16	8543	22789
Vibrio parahaemolytic	V.para	CFU/mL	All Instruments/Operators	20850	3739	18	8543	29798
us ATCC 17802	v.para	Low Positive	C2- Operator 1	22628	2402	11	17145	26762
		1.5xLoD	C3- Operator 2	18000	6067	34	6043	27526
		9.75x10 <sup>0</sup> CFU/mL	C4- Operator 3	16263	3060	19	6989	23597
		CFU/IIIL	All Instruments/Operators	18964	4922	26	6043	27526
		Moderate	C2- Operator 1	14365	1847	13	10209	17892
		Positive 3xLoD	C3- Operator 2	15303	1786	12	10813	17893
		2.25 x10 <sup>3</sup>	C4- Operator 3	14256	2325	16	9523	19982
Yersinia enterocolitica	Y.ent	CFU/mL	All Instruments/Operators	14641	2033	14	9523	19982
ATCC 23715	1.011	Low Positive	C2- Operator 1	14741	1694	11	11853	19628
		1.5xLoD 1.13 x10 <sup>3</sup>	C3- Operator 2	15592	2014	13	12978	20765
			C4- Operator 3	14732	2253	16	10750	19994
		CFU/mL	All Instruments/Operators	15022	2019	13	10750	20765

Organism	Target	Test Level	Instrument/Operator		MFI R	eprodu	cibility	
Organism	Probe	rest Level	instrument/Operator	Mean	StDev	%CV	Min	Max
		Moderate	C2- Operator 1	18731	4323	23	9904	28443
		Positive 3xLoD	C3- Operator 2	20843	3978	19	11526	28678
Cryptosporidiu		9.30 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	C4- Operator 3*	14867	4457	31	5669	26611
m parvum	Crypto	oocysts/mL	All Instruments/Operators	18222	4873	26	5669	28678
waterborne P102	Стурго	Low Positive	C2- Operator 1	13816	5170	37	2572	24148
P102		1.5xLoD	C3- Operator 2	15402	3996	26	8166	22933
		4.65 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	C4- Operator 3*	10785	3409	33	4413	18053
		oocysts/mL	All Instruments/Operators	13363	4631	35	2572	24148
		Moderate Positive 3xLoD 2.70 x 10 <sup>3</sup> cysts/mL	C2- Operator 1	14327	4487	31	7696	30050
			C3- Operator 2	21743	5006	23	8932	31538
Giardia			C4- Operator 3	16963	3780	22	11527	25036
intestinalis (aka G. lamblia)	G.lam		All Instrument/Operators	17677	5377	30	7696	31538
waterborne	G.iaiii	Low Positive 1.5xLoD 1.35 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	C2- Operator 1	17340	3668	21	11338	25626
P101			C3- Operator 2	24751	4701	19	12478	31282
			C4- Operator 3	19821	3772	20	12677	25949
		cysts/mL	All Instruments/Operators	20637	5081	25	11338	31282
		Moderate	C2- Operator 1	39523	2086	5	35835	44023
		Positive 3xLoD	C3- Operator 2	39162	3400	9	28863	44274
		3.75 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	C4- Operator 3	32899	2956	8	25107	38361
Rotavirus	Rota	TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Instruments/Operators	37195	4170	13	25107	44274
ATCC VR-2018	Nota	Low Positive	C2- Operator 1	36515	5114	14	16127	40941
		1.5xLoD	C3- Operator 2	36312	3863	11	26491	41547
		1.88 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	C4- Operator 3	31925	3280	10	21114	36158
		TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	All Instruments/Operators	34917	4628	11	16127	41547

<sup>\*</sup>The one replicate with 'Not detected' result was not included in the calculations.

#### SINGLE VS. MULTI-SPIKE

To demonstrate that the LoD is equivalent when spiking with single versus multiple organisms, LoD for representative organisms (*S. enterica*, *C. difficile*, *G. lamblia*, and Rotavirus) were performed as single targets and with all representative organisms combined, using both extraction systems. The results of spiking single versus multiple organisms showed equivalent LoD (see Table below). LoD for the remaining organisms were performed in pairs, except for norovirus which was tested from positive clinical specimens.

Single and multi-spiked samples both achieved  $\geq$ 95% detection of 20 replicates ( $\geq$ 19 out of 20) at same concentrations for the challenge organisms (concentrations indicated in the tables below).

**Table.** Comparison of results for limit of detection testing with single or multiple spiked samples for easyMAG extractions assayed with BioCode GPP.

Strain	Single Spike/ Multiple Spike	Source	Target Probe	Unpreserved Stool LoD	Unpreserve d Stool Detection	Cary-Blair Stool LoD	Cary-Blair Stool Detection
Clostridium difficile	Single Spike	ATCC 9689	tcdB	9.50 x 10 <sup>1</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	9.50 x 10 <sup>1</sup>	20/20
(toxinotype 0)	Multi Spike	711 00 3003	teab		20/20	CFU/mL	19/20
Salmonella enterica ssp.	Single Spike	ATCC 14028	Salm	1.10 x 10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	1.10 x 10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
enterica	Multi Spike	71100 11020			20/20		20/20
Giardia intestinalis	Single Spike	waterborne	G.lam	9.00 x 10 <sup>2</sup> cysts/mL	20/20	9.00 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	20/20
(aka G. lamblia)	Multi Spike	P101	G.I.G.III		20/20	cysts/mL	20/20
Rotavirus A	Single Spike	ATCC VR-	Rota	2.50 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	20/20	1.25 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	20/20
	Multi Spike	2018	otu	TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20	TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20

**Table.** Comparison of results for limit of detection testing with single or multiple spiked samples for MP96 extractions assayed with BioCode GPP.

Strain	Single Spike/ Multiple Spike	Source	Target Probe	Unpreserved Stool LoD	Unpreserve d Stool Detection	Cary-Blair Stool LoD	Cary-Blair Stool Detection
Clostridium	icile Spike ATCC 9689 tcdB 9.50	9.50 x 10 <sup>1</sup>	20/20	9.50 x 10 <sup>1</sup>	20/20		
difficile (toxinotype 0)	Multi Spike	ATCC 9689	тсав	CFU/mL	20/20	CFU/mL	20/20
Salmonella	Single Spike	ATCC 14028	Salm	1.10 x 10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	1.10 x 10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
enterica ssp. enterica	Multi Spike				20/20		20/20
Giardia	Single Spike	waterborne	Clare	9.00 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	20/20	9.00 x 10 <sup>2</sup>	20/20
intestinalis (aka G. lamblia)	Multi Spike	P101	G.lam	cysts/mL	20/20	cysts/mL	20/20
Dotavirus A	Single Spike	ATCC VR-	Doto	1.25 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	20/20	1.25 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	20/20
Rotavirus A	Multi Spike	2018	Rota	TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20	TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20

### LIMIT OF DETECTION (LoD)

A study was performed to assess the performance of the BioCode GPP on the BioCode MDx 3000 at the Limit of Detection (LoD) for both unpreserved Stool and Cary-Blair specimens. In this study the GI Panel was tested with quantified bacteria, virus or parasite stocks (except norovirus which used clinical samples). For initial screening, four replicates of each concentration (near LoD for the predicate) in negative stool and Cary-Blair were extracted on the easyMAG and MagNA Pure 96 Systems and tested in singlet with the BioCode GPP on the BioCode MDx 3000 system. The LoD was confirmed by extracting 20 replicates of each sample type/extraction method and testing each in singlet for a total of 20 replicates at or near presumptive LoD. LoD for each stock was defined as the lowest concentration with ≥95% detection of 20 replicates (19 out of 20), and was determined separately unpreserved stool and Cary-Blair preserved stool. The LoDs determined from the quantitated stocks or clinical samples are presented below and in the labeling. The LoDs were the same or within 2-fold for each extraction system.

**Table.** Comparison of results for limit of detection testing for Unpreserved Stool extracted with the easyMAG or MP96 and assayed with BioCode GPP.

easylving of ivit 50 aff	,	EasyMag		MagNA Pure	96
Strain	Source	Unpreserved Stool LoD	Detection	Unpreserved Stool LoD	Detection
Campylobacter coli	ATCC 33559	2.81 x 10 <sup>1</sup> CFU/mL	19/20	2.81 x 10 <sup>1</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Campylobacter jejuni spp. jejuni	ATCC 33292	3.50 x 10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	19/20	3.50 x10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	19/20
Clostridium difficile (toxinotype 0)	ATCC 9689	9.50 x 10 <sup>1</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	9.50 x 10 <sup>1</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Clostridium difficile (toxinotype III; Nap1)			20/20	4.15 x10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Enteroaggregative <i>E. coli</i> O92:H33 (EAEC)		7.00 x 10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	7.00 x10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Enteroinvasive <i>E. coli</i> O29:NM (EIEC) ATCC 43892		3.60 x 10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	1.80 x10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Enterotoxigenic E. coli 078:H11 H10407 (ETEC)	ATCC 35401	2.80 x 10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	2.80 x10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Salmonella bongori	SGSC 4900	1.40 x 10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	1.40 x10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Salmonella enterica ssp. enterica	ATCC 14028	1.10 x 10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	1.10 x10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Shiga-like toxin producing <i>E. coli</i> (STEC)	ATCC BAA-2217	1.25 x 10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	1.25 x10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
E. coli O157	ATCC 700376	1.65 x 10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	1.65 x10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Shigella sonnei	ATCC 29930	2.20 x 10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	2.20 x10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Vibrio cholerae	ATCC 25870	2.45 x 10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	2.45 x10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Vibrio parahaemolyticus	ATCC 17802	6.50 x 10 <sup>o</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	6.50 x10 <sup>o</sup> CFU/mL	20/20

		EasyMag		MagNA Pure	96
Strain	Source	Unpreserved Stool LoD	Detection	Unpreserved Stool LoD	Detection
Yersinia enterocolitica	ATCC 23715	7.50 x 10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	7.50 x10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Cryptosporidium parvum	waterborne P102C	3.10 x 10 <sup>3</sup> oocysts/mL	20/20	3.10 x10 <sup>3</sup> oocysts/mL	20/20
Entamoeba histolytica HB-301:NIH	BEI NR-178	1.55 x 10 <sup>-1</sup> cysts/mL	20/20	1.55 x10 <sup>-1</sup> cysts/mL	20/20
Giardia intestinalis (aka G. lamblia)	waterborne P101	9.00 x 10 <sup>2</sup> cysts/mL	20/20	9.00 x10 <sup>2</sup> cysts/mL	20/20
Adenovirus 40 (dugan)	Zeptometrix 0810084	2.00 x 10 <sup>-1</sup> TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20	1.00 x10 <sup>-1</sup> TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20
Adenovirus 41 (TAK)	Zeptometrix 0810085	9.4 x 10 <sup>-2</sup> TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20	4.70 x10 <sup>-2</sup> TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20
Rotavirus A	ATCC VR-2018	2.5 x 10 <sup>3</sup> TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20	1.25 x10 <sup>3</sup> TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20

**Table.** Comparison of results for limit of detection testing for Cary-Blair Stool extracted with the easyMAG or MP96 and assayed with BioCode GPP.

	·	EasyMag		MagNA Pure	96
Strain	Source	Cary-Blair Stool LoD	Detection	Cary-Blair Stool LoD	Detection
Campylobacter coli	ATCC 33559	5.61 x 10 <sup>1</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	2.81 x 10 <sup>1</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Campylobacter jejuni spp. jejuni	1 AICC 33292 I		20/20	7.00 x10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Clostridium difficile (toxinotype 0)	ATCC 9689	9.50 x 10 <sup>1</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	9.50 x 10 <sup>1</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Clostridium difficile (toxinotype III; Nap1)	Zeptometrix 0801619cf	4.15 x10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	4.15 x10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Enteroaggregative <i>E.</i> coli O92:H33 (EAEC)		7.00 x10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	7.00 x10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Enteroinvasive <i>E. coli</i> O29:NM (EIEC)	ATCC 43892	3.60 x10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	1.80 x10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Enterotoxigenic <i>E. coli</i> O78:H11 H10407 (ETEC)	ATCC 35401	2.80 x10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	2.80 x10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	19/20
Salmonella bongori	SGSC 4900	1.40 x10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	19/20	1.40 x10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Salmonella enterica ssp. enterica	ATCC 14028	1.10 x10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	1.10 x10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Shiga-like toxin producing <i>E. coli</i> (STEC)	ATCC BAA-2217	1.25 x10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	1.25 x10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
E. coli 0157	ATCC 700376	3.30 x10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	1.65 x10 <sup>3</sup> CFU/mL	20/20

		EasyMag		MagNA Pure	96
Strain	Source	Cary-Blair Stool LoD	Detection	Cary-Blair Stool LoD	Detection
Shigella sonnei	ATCC 29930	2.20 x10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	2.20 x10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Vibrio cholerae	ATCC 25870	2.45 x10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	2.45 x10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Vibrio parahaemolyticus	ATCC 17802	6.50 x10 <sup>o</sup> CFU/mL	20/20	6.50 x10 <sup>0</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Yersinia enterocolitica	Yersinia enterocolitica ATCC 23715 7.50 x10 <sup>2</sup> CFU		20/20	7.50 x10 <sup>2</sup> CFU/mL	20/20
Cryptosporidium parvum	waterborne P102C	3.10 x10 <sup>3</sup> oocysts/mL	20/20	3.10 x10 <sup>3</sup> oocysts/mL	20/20
Entamoeba histolytica HB-301:NIH	BEI NR-178	1.55 x10 <sup>-1</sup> cysts/mL	20/20	1.55 x10 <sup>-1</sup> cysts/mL	20/20
Giardia intestinalis (aka G. lamblia)	waterborne P101	9.00 x10 <sup>2</sup> cysts/mL	20/20	9.00 x10 <sup>2</sup> cysts/mL	20/20
Adenovirus 40 (dugan)	Zeptometrix 0810084	2.00 x10 <sup>-1</sup> TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20	1.00 x10 <sup>-1</sup> TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20
Adenovirus 41 (TAK)	Adenovirus 41 (TAK) Zeptometrix 0810085 4.70 x10		20/20	4.70 x10 <sup>-2</sup> TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20
Rotavirus A	ATCC VR-2018	1.25 x10 <sup>3</sup> TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20	1.25 x10 <sup>3</sup> TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL	20/20

For Norovirus GI and GII targets, positive clinical specimens were used, and serial dilutions (initial 10-fold dilution series followed by finer dilutions) were performed. Four replicates of each dilution in negative unpreserved stool and Cary-Blair stool were extracted with the easyMAG and MagNA Pure 96 Systems and tested with the BioCode GPP on the BioCode MDx 3000 system. The LoD was confirmed by extracting 20 replicates of each sample type with each extraction method and testing at or near presumptive LoD. For unpreserved stool, LoD with the easyMag extraction was 2-fold and 8.3-fold lower than the MagNA Pure 96 extraction for Norovirus GI and Norovirus GII, respectively. For Cary-Blair stool, LoD with the easyMag extraction was less than 2-fold lower than the MagNA Pure 96 extraction for both Norovirus GI and GII.

**Table. Norovirus -** Comparison of results for limit of detection testing for Unpreserved Stool extracted with the easyMAG or MP96 and assayed with BioCode GPP.

		Target	EasyM	ag	MagNA Pure 96		
Target	Source Probe Unpreserved Stool Dilution		Detection	Unpreserved Stool Dilution	Detection		
Norovirus GI	Clinical Sample ID#60	NoVG1	1:10,000	20/20	1:5,000	19/20	
Norovirus GII	Clinical Sample ID#54	NoVG2	1:250,000	20/20	1:30,000	20/20	

**Table. Norovirus -** Comparison of results for limit of detection testing for Cary-Blair Stool extracted with the easyMAG or MP96 and assayed with BioCode GPP.

		Target	EasyM	ag	MagNA Pure 96		
Target	Source	Probe	Cary-Blair Stool Dilution	Detection	Cary-Blair Stool Dilution	Detection	
Norovirus GI	Clinical Sample ID#60	NoVG1	1:50,000	20/20	1:80,000	19/20	
Norovirus GII	Clinical Sample ID#54	NoVG2	1:100,000	20/20	1:80,000	20/20	

### **Conclusion:**

The intended use and fundamental scientific technology of the BioCode GPP using the MagNA Pure 96 is substantially equivalent to the predicate device. Clinical and non-clinical studies have established that the BioCode GPP with the MagNA Pure 96 is substantially equivalent to the predicate device.